UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

EMMANUEL WINTERS,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) No. 1:20-cv-00728-JRS-MPB
ANDONSON, et al.)
Defendants)

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND DIRECTING FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff Emmanuel Winters, an Indiana Department of Correction inmate, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action on March 6, 2020. Dkt. 1. Mr. Winters' Eighth Amendment excessive force claims are proceeding against the defendants based on allegations that Mr. Winters was sprayed with a chemical agent during a cell extraction at New Castle Correctional Facility on August 21, 2019. *See* dkt. 6. The defendants seek resolution of the claims against them in their motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 39.

The Court understands that Mr. Winters is seeking production of all or part of two IDOC restricted policies that pertain to use of force and use of chemical agents, and that the defendants failed to file a motion for a protective order and/or a motion for *in camera* inspection of those policies. Dkt. 50. Unredacted copies of these policies have now been filed *ex parte* with the Court. Dkt. 51. Though Mr. Winters responded to the defendants' motion for summary judgment, and then sought to file a cross-motion for summary judgment, he believes that information in the policies may be valuable to his case. Dkt. 68.

Accordingly, to allow the plaintiff ability to fully respond to summary judgment or file an

appropriate cross-motion, and in effort to resolve any remaining discovery dispute between the

parties, the Court makes the following rulings:

The defendants' motion for summary judgment, dkt. [39] is denied without prejudice

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d). The defendants may refile their motion once

the remaining discovery issue is resolved, and the Court resets a new dispositive motion deadline

by separate Order.

The plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment, dkt. [62], is denied without

prejudice. Should Mr. Winters' wish to file a dispositive motion in this action, he may refile such

motion pursuant to the newly set dispositive motion deadline.

Mr. Winters' motion to attach evidence exhibit, dkt. [35], is **denied as moot**. Mr. Winters

will have the opportunity to designate evidence that he wishes the Court to consider when he

refiles a dispositive motion, or in his response to the defendants' refiled dispositive motion.

The defendant's motion to strike plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, dkt. [67], is

denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 3/25/2022

MES R SWEENEY II IIIDGE

United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

EMMANUEL WINTERS
242936
WESTVILLE - CF
WESTVILLE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
Electronic Service Participant – Court Only

Adam Garth Forrest BBFCS ATTORNEYS aforrest@bbfcslaw.com